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Dear Editor,

Vaccination has been the most effective strategy against coronavirus 2019 disease (COVID-19). However, given the disruptive nature of the pandemic, vaccines have understandably been approved using expedited assessment processes. Studies on vaccination in pregnant women have shown no increased risk of pregnancy complications, but these had a retrospective design and/or were limited to mRNA vaccines.1-4 Furthermore, data on the comparative impact of different vaccines in this important patient group is lacking.

Based on our experience, we compared pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in Vietnamese women vaccinated against COVID-19 with the Astra Zeneca versus Pfizer-BioNTech vaccines. Between August and November 2021, 954 pregnant women at approximately 30–31 weeks’ gestation were offered COVID-19 vaccination at My Duc Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. The vaccine used (Astra Zeneca or Pfizer-BioNTech) was based availability at the time of vaccination. We prospectively followed pregnant women until their babies were delivered.

A total of 513 women were vaccinated with the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine and 441 with the Astra Zeneca vaccine (mean age 30.8±4.5 vs. 30.0±4.4 years [p<0.001], first pregnancy 40.2% vs. 54.5% [p<0.001], spontaneous pregnancy 92.6% vs. 87.3% [p=0.009], gestation at vaccination 32.4±4.0 vs. 31.9±4.5 weeks [p=0.067], and two vaccine doses received 80.7% vs. 60.1% [p<0.001], respectively). Side effects related to both vaccines were mild, but some were significantly more common after the AstraZeneca versus Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine (self-reported fatigue, headache, muscle or joint pain, and fever). The proportion of women with preeclampsia and gestational diabetes mellitus was slightly, but not significantly, higher in those received the Pfizer-BioNTech versus AstraZeneca vaccine (both 1.0% versus 0.2%; p=0.225).

Women who received the Pfizer-BioNTech or AstraZeneca vaccine had a similar post-vaccination rate of COVID-19 (8.6% and 6.8%, respectively; p=0.359). The proportion of women who delivered low birthweight infants (birthweight <2500 g) was significantly higher in those vaccinated with the Pfizer-BioNTech versus AstraZeneca vaccine (5.3% vs. 2.5%; relative risk 2.1, 95% confidence interval 1.05–4.18; p=0.046). This appeared to be due to a higher rate of growth restricted infants rather than a higher rate of preterm birth (data not shown). On multivariate analysis that included vaccine type along with maternal age, number of previous pregnancies, type of pregnancy (natural vs. in vitro fertilization) and post-vaccination COVID-19 infection, vaccination with the Pfizer-BioNTech versus Astra Zeneca vaccine during pregnancy was significantly associated with delivering a low birthweight infant (odds ratio 2.65, 95% confidence interval 1.30–5.76; p=0.01).

Although the data from this prospective cohort study should be considered preliminary due to the relatively small sample size, and lack of randomization and an untreated control group, we found that a higher proportion of women vaccinated with the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine during pregnancy delivered low birthweight infants compared to those vaccinated with the AstraZeneca product. A recent report of data from a large number of US-based women concluded that there was no overall increased risk for small for gestational age at birth or preterm delivery in vaccinated versus unvaccinated individuals.2 However, our data suggest that all vaccines may not be equivalent in terms of their effect on infant birthweight when given during pregnancy. Nevertheless, vaccination remains an important tool for preventing the substantial maternal morbidity and mortality and neonatal complications associated with SARS-CoV2 infection during pregnancy.5 Overall, additional research is needed to confirm our preliminary data and, in general, more longitudinal follow-up, including evaluation of large numbers of women vaccinated earlier in pregnancy, is necessary to fully understand the maternal, pregnancy, and infant impacts of COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy.

**Contributions:**

Conceptualisation: Lan N Vuong, Ben WJ Mol, Tuong M Ho

Data curation: Lan N Vuong, Minh N Chau, Duy L Nguyen, Toan D Pham

Investigation: Lan N Vuong, Minh N Chau, Tuong M Ho

Methodology: Lan N Vuong, Toan D Pham, Ben WJ Mol, Tuong M Ho

Project administration: Minh N Chau, Tuong M Ho

Formal analysis: Duy L Nguyen, Toan D Pham, Ben WJ Mol

Software: Toan D Pham

Supervision: Tuong M Ho

Writing (original draft): Lan N Vuong, Ben WJ Mol

Writing (review & editing): all authors.

**Competing interests:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

**References**

1. Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, et al. Preliminary Findings of mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 2273-82.
2. Lipkind HS, Vazquez-Benitez G, DeSilva M, et al. Receipt of COVID-19 vaccine during pregnancy and pre-term or small for gestational age at birth—eight integrated health care organizations, United States, December 15, 2020-July 22, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022; 71: 26-30.
3. Collier A-RY, McMahan K, Yu J, Tostanoski LH, et al. Immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in pregnant and lactating women. JAMA 2021; 325: 2370-80.
4. Gray KJ, Bordt EA, Atyeo C, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021; 225: 303.e1-17.
5. Villar J, Ariff S, Gunier RB, et al. Maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality among pregnant women with and without COVID-19 infection: the INTERCOVID multinational cohort study. JAMA Pediatr 2021; 175: 817–826.

**Table 1**. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in pregnant women vaccinated against COVID-19.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **AstraZeneca**  **(n=441)** | **Pfizer-BioNTech**  **(n=513)** | **Difference**  **(95% CI)** | **Relative risk**  **(95% CI)** | **p-value** |
| **Pregnancy outcomes** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preeclampsia after vaccination, n (%) | 1 (0.2) | 5 (1.0) | 0.75 (–0.42, 1.92) | 4.3 (0.5, 36.65) | 0.225 |
| Diabetes after vaccination, n (%) | 1 (0.2) | 5 (1.0) | 0.75 (–0.42, 1.92) | 4.3 (0.5, 36.65) | 0.225 |
| Gestational age at birth, weeks | 38.4±1.6 | 38.6±1.3 | 0.1 (–0.1, 0.3) | - | 0.176 |
| Preterm delivery, n (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| <28 weeks | 3 (0.7) | 0 (0.0) | - | - | - |
| <34 weeks | 6 (1.4) | 8 (1.6) | 0.2 (–1.52, 1.92) | 1.15 (0.4, 3.28) | 0.95 |
| <37 weeks | 28 (6.4) | 34 (6.6) | 0.28 (–3.07, 3.62) | 1.04 (0.64, 1.69) | 0.9 |
| Oligohydramnios, n (%) | 12 (2.7) | 15 (2.9) | 0.19 (–2.11, 2.49) | 1.07 (0.51, 2.26) | 0.95 |
| Polyhydramnios, n (%) | 14 (3.2) | 23 (4.5) | 1.31 (–1.33, 3.95) | 1.41 (0.74, 2.71) | 0.381 |
| ICU monitoring, n (%) | 0 (0) | 2 (0.4) | - | - | - |
| Stillbirth, n (%) | 2 (0.5) | 1 (0.2) | 0.43 (0.04, 4.72) | –0.26 (–1.2, 0.69) | 0.6 |
| Maternal death, n (%) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | - | - | . |
| **Neonatal outcomes** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth weight, g | 3148.3±376.8 | 3132.1±403.5 | - | –16.1 (–65.9, 33.6) | 0.524 |
| Low birth weight (<2500 g), n (%) | 11 (2.5) | 27 (5.3) | 2.1 (1.05, 4.18) | 2.75 (0.12, 5.39) | 0.046 |
| High birth weight (>4000 g), n (%) | 6 (1.4) | 10 (2.0) | 1.42 (0.52, 3.88) | 0.58 (–1.25, 2.41) | 0.66 |
| Birthweight percentile | 50.0 [25.0; 75.0] | 50.0 [25.0; 75.0] | - | - | 0.445 |
| Birthweight <10th percentile, n (%) | 65 (14.7) | 85 (16.6) | 1.12 (0.84, 1.51) | 1.83 (–3, 6.66) | 0.493 |
| NICU, n (%) | 24 (5.5) | 23 (4.5) | 0.82 (0.47, 1.44) | –0.96 (–3.95, 2.03) | 0.594 |
| Birth defects, n (%) | 4 (0.9) | 4 (0.8) | 0.86 (0.22, 3.42) | –0.13 (–1.42, 1.17) | 0.95 |

Data are mean ± standard deviation, median [interquartile range], or number of patients (%).

CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.